UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/567,381	02/26/2008	Daniel H.S. Lee	2159.0430002/EJH/SAC	9649	
	7590 06/10/201 SLER, GOLDSTEIN &		EXAMINER		
1100 NEW YO	1100 NEW YORK AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			WEGERT, SANDRA L	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20003		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1646		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/10/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/567,381	LEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SANDRA WEGERT	1646				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Fe	phruary 2000					
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
·=		coaution as to the	morite is			
•) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,7-10,13-16,18,19,22,24 and 2</u>	7-29 is/are pending in the applica	tion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7.00 and authiost to rectniction and	Van alaatian naavin	- w w-t			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,7-10,13-16,18,19,22,24 and 2</u>	<u>7-29</u> are subject to restriction and	or election require	ement.			
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
TI) The oath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	anniner. Note the attached Office	Action of format 1	0-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te				

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-10, 13-16, 18, 19, 22, 24 and 27-29 are pending in the instant application.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, 2, 4, 5 and 7, drawn to polypeptides, nucleic acids encoding the polypeptides, vectors and host cells comprising the nucleic acids, and a method of producing an antibody.

Group II, claim(s) 8-10, 13-15 and 22, drawn to antibodies made against a cognate polypeptide.

Group III, claims 16, 18, 19, 24 and 26-28, drawn to a method of inhibiting binding to NOGO using antibodies.

Group IV, claim(s) 29, drawn to a method of gene therapy.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,381 Page 3

Art Unit: 1646

REQUIREMENT FOR UNITY OF INVENTION

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention

only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept

("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage

application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical

relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special

technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features

that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes

over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general

inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate

claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

WHEN CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO MULTIPLE CATEGORIES OF INVENTIONS

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to

different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are

drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

(1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or

(2) A product and process of use of said product; or

Art Unit: 1646

- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept, and thus lack unity of invention, because they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature. The "special technical feature" of Group I is a polypeptide. As such, this technical feature cannot link the inventions as a whole to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. For example Group I does not share a special technical feature with Group II because the two inventions differ in the structures of the claimed products. In addition, Groups I and III do not share a special technical feature since they embrace methods of using structurally distinct materials. Lack of unity is also shown among the groups relating to methods of using the claimed products because the methods of making antibodies are functionally different from methods of treatment and methods of gene therapy in mammals, and because PCT Rule 13.1 provides for examination of one method of using the claimed product; gene therapy is a second method of using the claimed nucleic acids.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Rejoinder

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Page 6

Advisory information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sandra Wegert whose telephone number is (571) 272-0895. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern Time). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Gary Nickol, can be reached at (571) 272-0835.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications Application/Control Number: 10/567,381

Art Unit: 1646

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Page 7

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (in USA or CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/SLW/

25 May 2010

/Dong Jiang/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1646